Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1923-21 Filed: 07/19/19 1 of 7. PageID #: 95527

## EXHIBIT 18

	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION
3	IN RE: NATIONAL : MDL No. 2804
4	PRESCRIPTION OPIATE : LITIGATION : Case No. 17-md-2804
5	: APPLIES TO ALL CASES : Hon. Dan A. Polster
6	: :
7	
8	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
9	SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
10	
11	
12	JANUARY 25, 2019
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROBERT A. MCCLUNE,
15	taken pursuant to notice, was held at Marcus &
16	Shapira, One Oxford Center, 35th Floor, Pittsburgh,
17	Pennsylvania 15219, by and before Ann Medis,
18	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in
19	and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Friday,
20	January 25, 2019, commencing at 9:11 a.m.
21	
22	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23	877.370.3377 ph   917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com
24	
25	

```
114
 1
      this, but this exhibit that we're looking at right
      now, Exhibit 5, in terms of its content, does it
 2
 3
      describe, at least in part, part of what Giant
      Eagle was doing at some point in time in an
 4
      attempt to meet obligations it believed it had
 6
      under the 21 CFR 1301.74(b)?
 7
                MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 8
                THE WITNESS: In my nonlegal opinion,
      this would be one of the aspects we used in order
 9
10
      to maintain compliance.
      BY MR. BARTON:
11
12
           Q.
                And this aspect described in here, the
13
      first sentence of the second paragraph, let's look
14
      at that. "Giant Eagle has created monthly
15
      ordering threshold levels for products based on
      GPI level reporting for controlled substances."
16
17
           Did I read that correctly?
18
           Α.
                Yes.
                First of all, what is GPI level?
19
           Q.
20
           Α.
                GPI stands for generic product
      indicator. The level would be at which the
21
22
      product is that level. It's organized by GPI and
23
      not another level.
24
           Q. I guess I need to ask a little bit more
      about that just to understand it.
25
```

115 GPI level, how would GPI level help Giant 1 Eagle -- would the GPI level be used to help 2 3 create the threshold levels against which it was comparing monthly ordering? Yes. Reviewing and analyzing at the GPI level rather than the NDC level is the more 6 7 accurate way to look at any analytics regarding any medication, for that matter. 8 Q. How so? Like what's the difference 9 between the GPI level and the NDC level? 10 Under a single 14-digit GPI, you'll --11 12 you could have one brand NDC, which stands for 13 National Drug Code, and many generic NDCs that all are therapeutically equivalent in nature. 14 15 Again, preface this I'm not a clinician, so that may be subject to interpretation. 16 Who assigns the GPI level to a given 17 medication? 18 19 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 2.0 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to 21 that question. 22 BY MR. BARTON: 23 All I'm trying to understand -- I don't 24 think there's anything nefarious in it. I'm just trying to understand what it is. 25

116 1 Α. GPI is issued by Medi-Span. And who is that? 2 Q. 3 Α. It's a subsidiary of Wolters Kluwer. It's a data provider for the pharmaceutical 4 industry cataloging. 6 Got it. So GPI level is just a way to 7 try to identify or capture a certain class or 8 category of related products; is that fair? That's a fair assessment, yes. 9 Α. 10 And the reason it's better than NDC level is NDC level -- if you were just trying to 11 12 count by NDC level, you might miss some generics 13 that are presumed to be therapeutically equivalent 14 and may be prescribed for the same reason as the 15 brand NDC level; right? 16 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 17 THE WITNESS: Again, not a clinician, 18 but yes. 19 BY MR. BARTON: 20 Q. I think I'm following now. So GPI level 21 is better because it's hopefully more inclusive 22 than NDC level; right? 23 Α. Yes. Q. So the first sentence then, "Giant Eagle 24 has created monthly ordering threshold levels for 25

117 1 products based on GPI level reported for controlled substances," is that a statement that 2 3 you think accurately reflects something that Giant Eagle did at some point in time? Again, I don't know if this document was in draft form, final, or what the context was. 6 7 But yes. 8 Q. And were you personally involved -- is 9 one of the things you did personally was 10 contribute to that creation of the monthly ordering threshold levels for products? 11 12 A. Yes, myself or my team. 13 Q. And is that part of the programming 14 project that occurred that led to the generation 15 of the daily threshold reports? Again, this document was dated 16 11/16/2014. We know that the threshold, daily 17 threshold reports started in 2013 based on our 18 19 earlier review. 2.0 So this statement would refer to that or some 21 subsequent work associated to that analytics and 22 reporting. 23 Q. Yeah. Absolutely fair enough. And I'm 24 not trying to suggest that it only happened in 25 November of 2014. I'm actually not concerned --

```
136
 1
      the store to the company average, you're not
 2
      comparing that store to itself; right?
 3
           Α.
                Correct.
           Q. So, for example, if you had a small
      store relative to the company average -- and there
      were such stores, I assume, in the Giant Eagle
 6
 7
      system; right?
 8
                MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
                THE WITNESS: I'd have to see the data,
 9
10
      but you're going to have some high-performing and
      lower-performing stores.
11
12
      BY MR. BARTON:
13
           Q. So if you had a smaller store relative
14
      to company average, then always comparing that
15
      store's orders to the company average might never
      reveal a pattern occurring within that store's
16
17
      orders that might deviate or change, but never
18
      reach the threshold.
19
           That could happen; right?
20
                MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
21
                THE WITNESS: There are still other
22
      processes in place that would catch those
23
      abnormalities. This check was just an additional
24
      redundant check.
25
```